



# DIGITAL ACCESS TO SCHOLARSHIP AT HARVARD

## Companion Forms Over Totally Real Fields, II

The Harvard community has made this article openly available.  
[Please share](#) how this access benefits you. Your story matters.

<b>Citation</b>	Gee, Toby. 2007. Companion forms over totally real fields, II. Duke Mathematical Journal 136(2): 275-284.
<b>Published Version</b>	<a href="https://doi.org/10.1215/S0012-7094-07-13622-6">doi:10.1215/S0012-7094-07-13622-6</a>
<b>Accessed</b>	February 17, 2015 6:14:17 PM EST
<b>Citable Link</b>	<a href="http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:2937560">http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:2937560</a>
<b>Terms of Use</b>	This article was downloaded from Harvard University's DASH repository, and is made available under the terms and conditions applicable to Other Posted Material, as set forth at <a href="http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:dash.current.terms-of-use#LAA">http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:dash.current.terms-of-use#LAA</a>

*(Article begins on next page)*

# Companion forms over totally real fields, II

Toby Gee

July 1, 2005

## Abstract

We prove a companion forms theorem for mod  $l$  Hilbert modular forms. This work generalises results of Gross and Coleman–Voloch for modular forms over  $\mathbf{Q}$ , and gives a new proof of their results in many cases.

## 1 Introduction

If  $f \in S_k(\Gamma_1(N); \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p)(\epsilon)$  is a mod  $l$  cuspidal eigenform, where  $l \nmid N$ , there is a continuous, odd, semisimple Galois representation

$$\rho_f : \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}/\mathbf{Q}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbf{F}}_l)$$

attached to  $f$ . A famous conjecture of Serre predicts that all continuous odd irreducible mod  $l$  representations should arise in this fashion. Furthermore, the “strong Serre conjecture” predicts a minimal weight  $k_\rho$  and level  $N_\rho$ , in the sense that  $\rho \cong \rho_g$  for some eigenform  $g$  of weight  $k_\rho$  and level  $N_\rho$  (prime to  $l$ ), and if  $\rho \cong \rho_f$  for some eigenform  $f$  of weight  $k$  and level  $N$  prime to  $l$  then  $N_\rho | N$  and  $k \geq k_\rho$ . The question as to whether all continuous odd irreducible mod  $l$  Galois representations are modular in this sense is still open, but the implication “weak Serre  $\Rightarrow$  strong Serre” is essentially known (aside from a few cases where  $l = 2$ ).

In solving the problem of weight optimisation it becomes necessary to consider the companion forms problem; that is, the question of when it can occur that we have  $f = \sum a_n q^n$  of weight  $2 \leq k \leq l$  with  $a_l \neq 0$ , and an eigenform  $g = \sum b_n q^n$  of weight  $k' = l + 1 - k$  such that  $na_n = n^k b_n$  for all  $n$ . Serre conjectured that this can occur if and only if the representation  $\rho_f$  is tamely ramified above  $l$ . This conjecture has been settled in most cases in the papers of Gross ([Gro90]) and Coleman–Voloch ([CV92]).

Our earlier paper [Gee04] generalised these results to the case of parallel weight Hilbert modular forms over totally real fields  $F$  in which  $l$  splits completely, by generalising the methods of [CV92]. In this paper we take a completely different and rather more conceptual approach; we construct our companion form by using a method of Ramakrishna to find an appropriate characteristic zero Galois representation, and then use recent work of Kisin ([Kis04]) to prove that the representation is modular. Note that our companion form is not necessarily of minimal prime-to- $l$  level, but that this is irrelevant for applications to Artin’s conjecture, and that in many cases a form of minimal level may be obtained from ours by the methods of [Jar99], [SW01], [Raj01] and [Fuj99]. In the case of weight  $l$  forms, we avoid potential difficulties with weight 1 forms by constructing a companion form in weight  $l$ .

## 2 Statement of the main results

Let  $l > 2$  be a prime, and let  $F$  be a totally real field. We assume that if  $l > 3$ ,  $[F(\zeta_l) : F] > 3$  (note that this is automatic if  $l$  is unramified in  $F$ ). Let  $\epsilon$  denote both the  $l$ -adic and mod  $l$  cyclotomic characters; this should cause no confusion. Let  $\rho : G_K \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O})$  be a continuous representation, where  $K$  is a finite extension of  $\mathbf{Q}_l$ , and  $\mathcal{O}$  is the ring of integers in a finite extension of  $\mathbf{Q}_l$ . We say that  $\rho$  is *ordinary* if it is Barsotti-Tate, coming from an  $l$ -divisible group which is an extension of an étale group by a multiplicative group, each of rank one as  $\mathcal{O}$ -modules. We say that it is *potentially ordinary* if it becomes ordinary upon restriction to an open subgroup of  $G_K$ . We say that a Hilbert modular form of parallel weight 2 is *(potentially) ordinary* at a place  $v|l$  if its associated Galois representation is (potentially) ordinary at  $v$ . These definitions agree with those in [Kis04]; they are slightly non-standard, but note that if the level is prime to  $l$  then this is equivalent to the  $U_v$ -eigenvalue being an  $l$ -adic unit. We say that a Hilbert modular form of parallel weight  $k$ ,  $3 \leq k \leq l$  is *ordinary* at a place  $v|l$  if its  $U_v$ -eigenvalue is an  $l$ -adic unit. Finally, we say that a modular form is *(potentially) ordinary* if it is (potentially) ordinary at all places  $v|l$ .

Our main theorem is the following:

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $g$  be an ordinary Hilbert modular eigenform of parallel weight  $k$ ,  $2 \leq k \leq l$ , and level coprime to  $l$ . Let its associated Galois representation be  $\rho_g : G_F \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Q}_l)$ , so that (by Theorem 2 of [Wil88]) we have, for all places  $v|l$ ,*

$$\rho_g|_{G_v} \simeq \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon^{k-1}\psi_{v,1} & * \\ 0 & \psi_{v,2} \end{pmatrix}$$

*for unramified characters  $\psi_{v,1}, \psi_{v,2}$ . Suppose that the residual representation  $\bar{\rho}_g : G_F \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\bar{\mathbf{F}}_l)$  is absolutely irreducible. Assume further that for all  $v|l$  we have that  $\epsilon^{k-1}\bar{\psi}_{v,1} \neq \bar{\psi}_{v,2}$ , and that the representation  $\bar{\rho}_g|_{G_v}$  is tamely ramified, so that*

$$\bar{\rho}_g|_{G_v} \simeq \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon^{k-1}\bar{\psi}_{v,1} & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{\psi}_{v,2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

*Assume in addition that if  $\epsilon^{k-2}\bar{\psi}_{v,1} = \bar{\psi}_{v,2}$ , then the absolute ramification index of  $F_v$  is less than  $l-1$ . If  $k = l$  then let  $k' = l$ , and otherwise let  $k' = l+1-k$ . Then there is a Hilbert modular form  $g'$  of parallel weight  $k'$  and level coprime to  $l$  satisfying*

$$\bar{\rho}_{g'} \simeq \bar{\rho}_g \otimes \epsilon^{k'-1}$$

*and the  $U_v$ -eigenvalue of  $g'$  is a lift of  $\bar{\psi}_{v,1}(\mathrm{Frob}_v)$ .*

In fact, we work throughout with forms of parallel weight 2, and we use Hida theory to treat forms of more general (parallel) weight. In the case where  $\bar{\rho}_g(G_F)$  is soluble the Langlands-Tunnell theorem makes the proof straightforward, so we concentrate on the insoluble case, where we prove:

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $\bar{\rho}_f : G_F \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\bar{\mathbf{F}}_l)$  be an absolutely irreducible modular representation, coming from a Hilbert eigenform  $f$  of parallel weight 2, with associated Galois representation  $\rho_f : G_F \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)$ . Suppose that  $\bar{\rho}_f(G_F)$  is*

insoluble. Suppose also that for every place  $v$  of  $F$  dividing  $l$   $\rho_f|_{G_v}$  is potentially ordinary, and we have

$$\bar{\rho}_f|_{G_v} \simeq \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon^{k-1}\bar{\psi}_{v,1} & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{\psi}_{v,2} \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $\bar{\psi}_{v,1}, \bar{\psi}_{v,2}$  are unramified characters, with  $\epsilon^{k-1}\bar{\psi}_{v,1} \neq \bar{\psi}_{v,2}$ . Assume in addition that if  $\epsilon^{k-2}\bar{\psi}_{v,1} = \bar{\psi}_{v,2}$ , then the absolute ramification index of  $F_v$  is less than  $l-1$ .

If  $k = l$  then let  $k' = l$ , and otherwise let  $k' = l+1-k$ . Then there is an eigenform  $f'$  of parallel weight 2 which is potentially ordinary at all places  $v|l$  such that the mod  $l$  Galois representation  $\bar{\rho}_{f'}$  associated to  $f'$  satisfies

$$\bar{\rho}_{f'} \simeq \bar{\rho}_f \otimes \epsilon^{k'-1},$$

and such that at all places  $v|l$  we have

$$\rho_{f'}|_{G_v} \simeq \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon\omega^{k'-2}\psi_{v,2} & * \\ 0 & \psi_{v,1} \end{pmatrix}$$

with  $\psi_{v,i}$  an unramified lift of  $\bar{\psi}_{v,i}$  for  $i=1, 2$ , and  $\omega$  the Teichmüller lift of  $\epsilon$ .

### 3 Lifting theorems

Firstly, we prove a straightforward generalisation of the results of [Ram02] and [Tay03] to totally real fields. We begin by analysing the local representation theory at primes not dividing  $l$ . The next lemma is essentially contained in [Dia97]:

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $p \neq l$  be a prime, and let  $K$  be a finite extension of  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ . Let  $I_K$  denote the inertia subgroup of  $G_K$ . Let  $\sigma : G_K \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(k)$  be a continuous representation, with  $k$  a finite field of characteristic  $l$ , and assume that  $l \nmid \#\sigma(I_K)$ .*

*Then either  $p = 2, l = 3$ , and  $\mathrm{proj} \sigma(G_K) \simeq A_4$  or  $S_4$ , or*

$$\sigma \simeq \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon\bar{\chi} & * \\ 0 & \bar{\chi} \end{pmatrix}$$

*with respect to some basis for some character  $\bar{\chi}$ .*

*Proof.* Note that  $l \nmid \#\sigma(I_K)$  if and only if  $l \nmid \#\mathrm{proj} \sigma(I_K)$ . We must have  $\sigma|_{I_K}$  indecomposable. If  $\sigma$  is reducible, then  $\sigma$  is a twist of a representation  $\begin{pmatrix} \psi & u \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  for some character  $\psi$ , with  $u$  a cocycle representing a class in  $H^1(G_K, k(\psi))$  whose image in  $H^1(I_K, k(\psi))^{G_K}$  is non-zero; but the latter group is zero unless  $\psi = \epsilon$ .

If instead  $\sigma$  is irreducible but  $\sigma|_{I_K}$  is reducible, then  $\sigma|_{I_K}$ , being indecomposable, must fix precisely one element of  $\mathbf{P}^1(k)$ . But then  $\sigma$  would also have to fix this element, a contradiction.

Assume now that  $\sigma|_{I_K}$  is irreducible, and that  $\sigma|_{P_K}$  is reducible, where  $P_K$  is the wild inertia subgroup of  $I_K$ . Then  $P_K$  must fix precisely two elements of  $\mathbf{P}^1(k)$  (as  $\sigma|_{I_K}$  is irreducible), so  $\sigma$  is induced from a character on a ramified

quadratic extension of  $K$ , and thus  $\sigma(I_K)$  has order  $2p^r$  for some  $r \geq 1$ , a contradiction.

Finally, if  $\sigma|_{P_K}$  is irreducible we must have  $p = 2$ . That  $\text{proj } \sigma(G_K) \simeq A_4$  or  $S_4$  follows from the same argument as in the proof of Proposition 2.4 of [Dia97]. That  $l = 3$  follows from  $l | \# \sigma(I_K)$ .  $\square$

Let  $\bar{\rho} : G_F \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(k)$  be continuous, odd, and absolutely irreducible, with  $k$  a finite field of characteristic  $l$ . Let  $S$  denote a finite set of finite places of  $F$  which contains all places dividing  $l$  and all places where  $\bar{\rho}$  is ramified, and let  $G_S$  denote the Galois group of the maximal extension of  $F$  unramified outside  $S$ . A *deformation* of  $\bar{\rho}$  is a complete noetherian local ring  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  with residue field  $k$  and a continuous representation  $\rho : G_S \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(R)$  such that  $(\rho \bmod \mathfrak{m}) = \bar{\rho}$  and  $\epsilon^{-1} \det \rho$  has finite order prime to  $l$ . We define deformations of  $\bar{\rho}|_{G_v}$  in a similar fashion.

Suppose that for each  $v \in S$  we have a pair  $(\mathcal{C}_v, L_v)$  satisfying the properties P1-P7 listed in section 1 of [Tay03]. Define  $H_{\{L_v\}}^1(G_S, \text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho})$  and  $H_{\{L_v^\perp\}}^1(G_S, \text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho})$  in the usual way.

**Lemma 3.2.** *If  $H_{\{L_v^\perp\}}^1(G_S, \text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}) = (0)$  then there is an  $S$ -deformation  $(W(k), \rho)$  of  $\bar{\rho}$  such that for all  $v \in S$  we have  $(W(k), \bar{\rho}|_{G_v}) \in \mathcal{C}_v$ .*

*Proof.* Identical to the proof of Lemma 1.1 of [Tay03].  $\square$

**Lemma 3.3.** *Suppose that  $\sum_{v \in S} \dim L_v \geq \sum_{v \in S \cup \{\infty\}} \dim H^0(G_v, \text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho})$ . Then we can find a finite set of places  $T \supset S$  and data  $(\mathcal{C}_v, L_v)$  for  $v \in T - S$  satisfying conditions P1-P7 and such that  $H_{\{L_v^\perp\}}^1(G_T, \text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}) = (0)$ .*

*Proof.* The proof of this lemma is almost identical to that of Lemma 1.2 of [Tay03]. We sketch a few of the less obvious details. In the case  $l = 5$ ,  $\text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}(G_F) \simeq A_5$ , we choose  $w \notin S$  such that  $\mathbf{N}w \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$  and  $\text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}(\text{Frob}_w)$  has order 5 (such a  $w$  exists by Chebotarev's theorem). Adding  $w$  to  $S$  with the pair  $(\mathcal{C}_w, L_w)$  of type E3 (see below), we may assume  $H_{\{L_v^\perp\}}^1(G_S, \text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}) \cap H^1(\text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}(G_F), \text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}) = (0)$ .

From here on, almost exactly the same argument as in [Tay03] applies, the only difference being that one must replace every occurrence of “ $\mathbf{Q}$ ” with “ $F$ ”. Let  $K = F(\text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}, \mu_l)$ . The argument is essentially formal once one knows that there is an element  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K/F)$  such that  $\text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}(\sigma)$  has an eigenvalue  $\epsilon(\sigma) \neq 1 \pmod{l}$ , that  $\text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}$  is absolutely irreducible, and that  $\text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}$  is not isomorphic to  $(\text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho})(1)$ . All of these assertions follow from our assumption that  $[F(\zeta_l) : F] > 3$  if  $l > 3$ , with the proofs being similar to those in [Ram99] (note that one may replace the assumption that  $\bar{\rho}(G_{\mathbf{Q}}) \supseteq \text{SL}_2(k)$  in [Ram99] with the assumption that  $\text{proj } \bar{\rho}(G_{\mathbf{Q}}) \supseteq \text{PSL}_2(k)$  without affecting the proofs). For example, to check that  $\text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}$  is not isomorphic to  $(\text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho})(1)$  it is enough to prove that there is an element  $\sigma' \in \text{Gal}(K/F)$  such that all of the eigenvalues of  $\text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}$  are 1, and  $\epsilon(\sigma') \neq 1$ . The existence of  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma'$  follows exactly as in the proof of Theorem 2 of [Ram99].  $\square$

We now give examples of pairs  $(\mathcal{C}_v, L_v)$ . Again, our pairs are very similar to those in section 1 of [Tay03], and the verification of the required properties is almost identical. We use the notation of [Tay03] for ease of comparison with that paper.

- E1. Suppose that  $v \nmid l$  and that  $l \nmid \#\bar{\rho}(I_v)$ . Take  $\mathcal{C}_v$  to be the class of lifts of  $\bar{\rho}|_{G_v}$  which factor through  $G_v/(I_v \cap \ker \bar{\rho})$  and let  $L_v$  be  $H^1(G_v/I_v, (\text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho})^{I_v})$ . Then it is straightforward to see that properties P1-P7 are satisfied, and that
  - $H^2(G_v/(I_v \cap \ker \bar{\rho}), \text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}) \simeq H^2(G_v/I_v, (\text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho})^{I_v}) = (0)$ , (as  $G_v/I_v \simeq \hat{\mathbf{Z}}$  has cohomological dimension 1),
  - $H^1(G_v/(I_v \cap \ker \bar{\rho}), \text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}) = L_v \subset H^1(G_v, \text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho})$ ,
  - $\dim L_v = \dim H^0(G_v, \text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho})$  (by the local Euler characteristic formula).
- E2. (Note that our definitions here differ slightly from those in [Tay03]; we thank Richard Taylor for explaining this modification to us.) Suppose that  $l = 3$ , that  $v|2$ , and that  $(\text{ad}^0(\bar{\rho})(G_v) \xrightarrow{\sim} S_4$ . Take  $\mathcal{C}_v$  to be the class of lifts of  $\bar{\rho}|_{G_v}$  which factor through  $G_v/(I_v \cap \ker \bar{\rho})$  and let  $L_v$  be  $H^1(G_v/I_v, (\text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho})^{I_v})$ . The verification of properties P1-P7 is then as in [Tay03], except that to check that  $H^i(\bar{\rho}(I_v), \text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}) = (0)$  for all  $i \geq 0$  one uses the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence and the fact that  $H^i(C_2 \times C_2, \text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}) = (0)$  for all  $i \geq 0$ .
- E3. Suppose that  $v \neq l$ , that either  $\mathbf{N}v \not\equiv 1 \pmod{l}$  or  $l|\#\bar{\rho}(G_v)$ , and that with respect to some basis  $e_1, e_2$  of  $k^2$  the restriction  $\bar{\rho}|_{G_v}$  has the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \epsilon \bar{\chi} & * \\ 0 & \bar{\chi} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Take  $\mathcal{C}_v$  to be the class of deformations of the form (with respect to some basis)

$$\begin{pmatrix} \epsilon \chi & * \\ 0 & \chi \end{pmatrix}$$

with  $\chi$  lifting  $\bar{\chi}$ , and take  $L_v$  to be the image of

$$H^1(G_v, \text{Hom}(ke_2, ke_1)) \rightarrow H^1(G_v, (\text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho})).$$

That the pair  $(\mathcal{C}_v, L_v)$  satisfies the properties P1-P7 follows from an identical argument to that in [Tay03]. An identical calculation to that in [Tay03] shows that  $\dim L_v = \dim H^0(G_v, \text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho})$ .

- E4. Suppose that  $v|l$  and that with respect to some basis  $e_1, e_2$  of  $k^2$   $\bar{\rho}|_{G_v}$  has the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \epsilon \bar{\chi}_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{\chi}_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Suppose also that  $\bar{\chi}_1 \neq \bar{\chi}_2$  and that  $\epsilon \bar{\chi}_1 \neq \bar{\chi}_2$ . Take  $\mathcal{C}_v$  to consist of all deformations of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \epsilon \chi_1 & * \\ 0 & \chi_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $\chi_1, \chi_2$  are tamely ramified lifts of  $\bar{\chi}_1, \bar{\chi}_2$  respectively. Let  $U^0 = \text{Hom}(ke_2, ke_1)$ , and let  $L_v$  be the kernel of the map  $H^1(G_v, \text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}) \rightarrow H^1(I_v, \text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}/U^0)^{G_v/I_v}$ . The verification of properties P1-P7 follows as

in [Tay03], and we may compute  $\dim L_v$  via a similar computation to that in the proof of Lemma 5 of [Ram02].

Note firstly that by local duality and the assumption that  $\bar{\chi}_1 \neq \bar{\chi}_2$  we have  $H^2(G_v, U^0) = 0$ . Thus the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow U^0 \rightarrow \mathrm{ad}^0 \bar{\rho} \rightarrow \mathrm{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}/U^0 \rightarrow 0$$

yields an exact sequence

$$H^1(G_v, \mathrm{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}) \rightarrow H^1(G_v, \mathrm{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}/U^0) \rightarrow 0.$$

Inflation-restriction gives us an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(G_v/I_v, (\mathrm{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}/U^0)^{I_v}) \rightarrow H^1(G_v, \mathrm{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}/U^0) \rightarrow H^1(I_v, \mathrm{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}/U^0)^{G_v/I_v} \rightarrow 0,$$

and combining these two sequences shows that the map  $H^1(G_v, \mathrm{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}) \rightarrow H^1(I_v, \mathrm{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}/U^0)^{G_v/I_v}$  is surjective. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \dim L_v &= \dim H^1(G_v, \mathrm{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}) - \dim H^1(I_v, \mathrm{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}/U^0)^{G_v/I_v} \\ &= \dim H^1(G_v, \mathrm{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}) - \dim H^1(G_v, \mathrm{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}/U^0) + \dim H^1(G_v/I_v, (\mathrm{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}/U^0)^{I_v}) \\ &= \dim H^1(G_v, \mathrm{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}) - \dim H^1(G_v, \mathrm{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}/U^0) \\ &\quad + \dim H^0(G_v, \mathrm{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}/U^0) \text{ (by Lemma 3 of [Ram02])} \\ &= \dim H^0(G_v, \mathrm{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}) + \dim H^2(G_v, \mathrm{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}) - \dim H^2(G_v, \mathrm{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}/U^0) \\ &\quad + [F_v : \mathbf{Q}_l] \text{ (local Euler characteristic)} \\ &= [F_v : \mathbf{Q}_l] + \dim H^0(G_v, \mathrm{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}). \end{aligned}$$

- BT. Suppose that  $v|l$  and that with respect to some basis  $e_1, e_2$  of  $k^2 \bar{\rho}|_{G_v}$  has the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \epsilon \bar{\chi} & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{\chi} \end{pmatrix}$$

for some unramified character  $\bar{\chi}$ . Assume also that  $\epsilon$  is not trivial (that is, that  $F_v$  does not contain  $\mathbf{Q}_l(\zeta_l)$ ). Take  $\mathcal{C}_v$  to consist of all flat deformations of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \epsilon \chi_1 & * \\ 0 & \chi_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $\chi_1, \chi_2$  are unramified lifts of  $\bar{\chi}$ . Then it follows from Corollary 2.5.16 of [Kis04] that there is an  $L_v$  of dimension  $[F_v : \mathbf{Q}_l] + \dim H^0(G_v, \mathrm{ad}^0 \bar{\rho})$  so that properties P1-P7 are all satisfied.

Set  $\bar{\rho} = \bar{\rho}_f \otimes \epsilon^{k'-1}$ . We are now in a position to prove:

**Theorem 3.4.** *There is a deformation  $\rho$  of  $\bar{\rho}$  to  $W(k)$  such that at all places  $v|l$  we have  $\rho|_{G_v}$  potentially ordinary, and*

$$\rho|_{G_v} \simeq \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon \omega^{k'-2} \psi_{v,2} & * \\ 0 & \psi_{v,1} \end{pmatrix}$$

with  $\psi_{v,i}$  an unramified lift of  $\bar{\psi}_{v,i}$  for  $i=1, 2$ , and  $\omega$  the Teichmüller lift of  $\epsilon$ .

*Proof.* This follows almost at once from Lemma 3.3. By Lemma 3.1 we can choose  $(\mathcal{C}_v, L_v)$  for all  $v \nmid l$ , with  $\dim L_v = \dim H^0(G_v, \text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho})$  (simply choose as in examples E1 or E3). At places  $v|l$ , we choose  $(\mathcal{C}_v, L_v)$  as in examples E4 or BT, so that  $\dim L_v = [F_v : \mathbf{Q}_l] + \dim H^0(G_v, \text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho})$ . Then as  $\sum_{v|l} [F_v : \mathbf{Q}_l] = [F : \mathbf{Q}]$ , we have  $\sum_{v \in S} \dim L_v = \sum_{v \in S \cup \{\infty\}} \dim H^0(G_v, \text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho})$ , so a deformation as in Lemma 3.3 exists. That the  $\psi_{v,i}$  are unramified follows from the fact that they are tamely ramified lifts of unramified characters.

It remains to check that  $\rho|_{G_v}$  is potentially ordinary. By the remarks in section 2.4.15 of [Kis04] it suffices to check that it is potentially Barsotti-Tate. This is immediate if we are in the case BT, so suppose we are considering deformations as in E4. By the proposition in section 3.1 of [PR94],  $\rho|_{G_v}$  is potentially semistable, and it clearly has Hodge-Tate weights in  $\{0, 1\}$ , so by Theorem 5.3.2 of [Bre00] it suffices to check that it is potentially crystalline. In order to check this, we consider the Weil-Deligne representation  $WD(\rho|_{G_v})$  (see Appendix B of [CDT99] for the definition of  $WD(\sigma)$  for any potentially semistable  $p$ -adic representation  $\sigma$  of  $G_v$ ). We need to check that the associated nilpotent endomorphism  $N$  is zero. As is well-known,  $N = 0$  unless  $WD(\rho|_{G_v})$  is a twist of the Steinberg representation, which cannot happen because of our assumption that we are not in the BT case.  $\square$

Theorem 2.2 now follows immediately from:

**Theorem 3.5.** *The representation  $\rho$  is modular.*

*Proof.* This is an easy application of Theorem 3.5.5 of [Kis04]. We need to check that  $\rho$  is strongly residually modular. The representation  $\rho_f \otimes \omega^{k'-1}$  (where  $\omega$  is the Teichmüller lift of  $\epsilon$ ) is certainly modular, with residual representation  $\bar{\rho}$ ; furthermore, it is automatically potentially ordinary at all places  $v|l$  with  $\epsilon^{k-2}\bar{\psi}_{v,1} \neq \bar{\psi}_{v,2}$ . By Theorem 6.2 of [Jar04] and our assumption that if  $\epsilon^{k-2}\bar{\psi}_{v,1} = \bar{\psi}_{v,2}$  the absolute ramification index of  $F_v$  is less than  $l-1$ , we may replace  $\rho_f \otimes \omega^{k'-1}$  with a modular lift of  $\bar{\rho}$  which is potentially ordinary at all places  $v|l$ . By construction,  $\rho$  is potentially ordinary at all places  $v|l$ , so we are done.  $\square$

We now prove Theorem 2.1. Firstly, suppose that  $\bar{\rho}_g(G_F)$  is insoluble. Then Hida theory (see [Wil88] or [Hid88]) provides us with a weight 2 form  $f$  which satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 2.2, and which has  $\bar{\rho}_f \simeq \bar{\rho}_g$  (that  $f$  is potentially ordinary follows as in the proof of Theorem 3.4). Then Theorem 2.2 provides us with a Hilbert modular form  $f'$  of parallel weight 2 with  $\bar{\rho}_{f'} \simeq \bar{\rho}_f \otimes \epsilon^{k'-1}$  and

$$\rho_{f'}|_{G_v} \simeq \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon \omega^{k'-2} \psi_{v,2} & * \\ 0 & \psi_{v,1} \end{pmatrix}$$

for all places  $v|l$ , with  $\psi_{v,1}$  an unramified lift of  $\bar{\psi}_{v,1}$ . Then Lemma 3.4.2 of [Kis04] shows that  $f'$  has  $U_v$ -eigenvalue  $\psi_{v,1}(\text{Frob}_v)$ , an  $l$ -adic unit. The existence of  $g'$  now follows from Hida theory.

Now suppose that  $\bar{\rho}_f(G_F)$  is insoluble. Then there is a lift of  $\bar{\rho}_f \otimes \epsilon^{k'-1}$  to a characteristic zero representation, which comes from a Hilbert modular form of parallel weight 1 by the Langlands-Tunnell theorem (see for example Lemma 5.2 of [Kha05]). Such a form is necessarily ordinary in the sense of Hida theory, and the theorem follows by Hida theory as in the insoluble case.



## References

- [Bre00] Christophe Breuil, *Groupes  $p$ -divisibles, groupes finis et modules filtrés*, Ann. of Math. (2) **152** (2000), no. 2, 489–549.
- [CDT99] Brian Conrad, Fred Diamond, and Richard Taylor, *Modularity of certain potentially Barsotti-Tate Galois representations*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **12** (1999), no. 2, 521–567.
- [CV92] Robert F. Coleman and José Felipe Voloch, *Companion forms and Kodaira-Spencer theory*, Invent. Math. **110** (1992), no. 2, 263–281.
- [Dia97] Fred Diamond, *An extension of Wiles’ results*, Modular forms and Fermat’s last theorem (Boston, MA, 1995), Springer, New York, 1997, pp. 475–489.
- [Fuj99] Kazuhiro Fujiwara, *Level optimisation in the totally real case*, 1999.
- [Gee04] Toby Gee, *Companion forms over totally real fields*, 2004.
- [Gro90] Benedict H. Gross, *A tameness criterion for Galois representations associated to modular forms (mod  $p$ )*, Duke Math. J. **61** (1990), no. 2, 445–517.
- [Hid88] Haruzo Hida, *On  $p$ -adic Hecke algebras for  $GL_2$  over totally real fields*, Ann. of Math. (2) **128** (1988), no. 2, 295–384.
- [Jar99] Frazer Jarvis, *Mazur’s principle for totally real fields of odd degree*, Compositio Math. **116** (1999), no. 1, 39–79.
- [Jar04] ———, *Correspondences on Shimura curves and Mazur’s Principle above  $p$* , Pacific J. Math. **213** (2004), no. 2, 267–280.
- [Kha05] Chandrashekhar Khare, *On Serre’s modularity conjecture for 2-dimensional mod  $p$  representations of the absolute Galois group of the rationals unramified outside  $p$* , 2005.
- [Kis04] Mark Kisin, *Moduli of finite flat group schemes, and modularity*, 2004.
- [PR94] Bernadette Perrin-Riou, *Représentations  $p$ -adiques ordinaires*, Astérisque (1994), no. 223, 185–220, With an appendix by Luc Illusie, Périodes  $p$ -adiques (Bures-sur-Yvette, 1988).
- [Raj01] Ali Rajaei, *On the levels of mod  $l$  Hilbert modular forms*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **537** (2001), 33–65.
- [Ram99] Ravi Ramakrishna, *Lifting Galois representations*, Invent. Math. **138** (1999), no. 3, 537–562.
- [Ram02] ———, *Deforming Galois representations and the conjectures of Serre and Fontaine-Mazur*, Ann. of Math. (2) **156** (2002), no. 1, 115–154.
- [SW01] C. M. Skinner and A. J. Wiles, *Base change and a problem of Serre*, Duke Math. J. **107** (2001), no. 1, 15–25.

- [Tay03] Richard Taylor, *On icosahedral Artin representations. II*, Amer. J. Math. **125** (2003), no. 3, 549–566.
- [Wil88] A. Wiles, *On ordinary  $\lambda$ -adic representations associated to modular forms*, Invent. Math. **94** (1988), no. 3, 529–573.